Notice of Panel Decision		
from Pre-Appeal Brief		
Review		

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/553,953	FABO, TOMAS Art Unit	
	Art Unit	
DAVID J. BLANCHARD	1643	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed 21 September 2009.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the P ☐ Other: 	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run f the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has beer Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits re applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) <u>David J. Blanchard</u> .	(3) <u>Kevin S. Orwig</u> .
(2) <u>Jon D. Epperson</u> .	(4)
/David J Blanchard/ Acting Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1611	